1682 Vetoes

title "Public Service Commission Law", sub-title "Public Service Companies", sub-heading "Taxicab Companies", to follow immediately after Sub-section 38 (a) thereof, relating to the operation of taxicabs to and from points in Baltimore County REGULATION AND CONTROL BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF TAXICABS IN BALTIMORE COUNTY AND BALTIMORE CITY AND TO THE OPERATION OF TAXICABS THEREIN.

April 17, 1957.

Hon. John C. Luber Speaker of the House of Delegates State House Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Speaker:

House Bill 721 is the third legislative attempt to permit Baltimore County taxicabs transporting fares into Baltimore City to take passengers on their return to Baltimore County. I have vetoed the two prior measures and the veto has been sustained in each instance. A substantially similar bill to the instant one was vetoed by me on May 9, 1955 (Laws of 1955 p. 1280), at which time I delivered the following message:

"Senate Bill 187 provides for Public Service Commission control of Baltimore County taxicabs and would permit a Baltimore County cab which transports a fare into Baltimore City, to take on passengers there for return to Baltimore County. On May 7, 1951, I vetoed House Bill 138 of the 1951 Session, which would have had similar effect, except that it did not place Baltimore County taxicabs under jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission. However, I am convinced that the basic objections to the 1951 measure remain valid. It would be impossible to supervise operation of Baltimore County taxicabs in Baltimore City to make sure that they were merely transporting passengers from Baltimore City after having carried fares into the City from the County. The practical effect would be to multiply by indirection the number of taxicab licenses to be used in the City.

"I am advised that the general duties of the transportation division of the Public Service Commission, which include inspection, supervision and administration of the Baltimore City taxicab industry, require the full time of the present personnel. Any addition to this burden would necessitate additional personnel and expense. Present local control of the Baltimore County cab industry has proved satisfactory and workable. No valid reason exists for change of this control to an agency further removed from the industry. Especially is this true since the extension of control to the adjoining territory of Baltimore County would be ineffective without policing authority, which the Public Service Commission does not have.

"Finally, it should be noted that the bill omits the usual 'grand-father clause' which would recognize the rights of those in business at the time the law would become effective and thus, presents a serious question as to constitutionality. At the very least, it would invite a deluge of applications for County permits—many of which might be sought to avoid the existing limitations in Baltimore City—and would necessitate lengthy, complicated hearings in order to ascertain those to whom permits should be issued. For all of which reasons, I have vetoed Senate Bill 187."